

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	* * *	
4	CHARLES J. WILLIAMS,	Case No. 2:14-CV-1785-KJD-VCF
5	Plaintiff,	
6	v.	ORDER
7	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
8	Defendants.	
9		
10		
11	Before the Court is the Recommendation of the Magistrate (#2), that the State of Nevada	
12	be dismissed as a defendant with prejudice. The time for Plaintiff to file objections has passed	
13	without any objections being filed. However, the Court's decision below is required by clearly	
14	established law regardless of the presence or absence of any objection. The Court also notes that	
15	Plaintiff is pro se, requiring the Court to hold Plaintiff's pleadings to "less stringent	
16	standards" <u>Haines v. Kerner</u> , 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972).	
17	The Magistrate correctly notes that Plaintiff's complaint is entirely based on 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983. The Magistrate is also correct that States are not "persons" under § 1983, and therefore	
19	cannot be held liable for what might otherwise constitute violations of this statute. See Will v.	
20	Michigan Dep't of State Police, 491 U.S. 58, 71 (1989). The Court HEREBY ADOPTS AND	
21	AFFIRMS the Magistrate's recommendation (#2), DISMISSING the state of Nevada as a	
22	defendant WITH PREJUDICE.	
23	DATED this 20th day of January, 2015.	2
24		
25	Kent J. Dawson	
26		United States District Judge